



CARM.ORG - Christian Discussion Forums > RELIGIONS > Jehovah's Witnesses
How can Jesus be worshiped if only a "god"?

Welcome, tkkclark.
You last visited: 1 Hour Ago at 06:19 AM
[Instant Messages](#): Unread 0, Total 0.

User CP FAQ [Donate](#) Members List Calendar New Posts Search ▾ Quick Links ▾ Log Out



Page 19 of 26 « First < 9 15 16 17 18 **19** 20 21 22 23 > Last » ▾

Thread Tools ▾ Search this Thread ▾ Rating: ★★★★★ ▾ Display Modes ▾

08-28-2006

#361

Toejamken

Junior Member

Join Date: Jul 2006
Location: NW Florida
Posts: 89
16 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by **mondo** ▾
Paul was very clear. There are the so-called gods, which are the idols, then there are also "many gods" such as the angels (Psa. 8:5). The two categories cannot be mixed and Paul was very careful to distinguish them. Looks to me as though you are running from Paul's words.

If I was running from Paul's words, I wouldn't have referred to the verse to begin with. What I do see is the WTBS likes to force a verse fit their beliefs, but it is nothing more than speculation. It is clear to me there are many gods and lords among the so-called gods because false worship is the fruit of demons.

"But Jeshurun grew fat and kicked— You are grown fat, thick, and sleek— Then he forsook God who made him, And scorned the Rock of his salvation. They made Him jealous with strange gods; With abominations they provoked Him to anger. **They sacrificed to demons who were not God,** To gods whom they have not known, New gods who came lately, Whom your fathers did not dread. You neglected the Rock who begot you, And forgot the God who gave you birth...They have made Me jealous with what is not God; They have provoked Me to anger with their idols." ([Deuteronomy 32](#))

compare:

"For even if there are so-called gods whether in heaven or on earth, as indeed there are many gods and many lords,"

This passage is made in the context of "things sacrificed to idols" which is also what is written about in [Deuteronomy 32](#) , so it is clear you are taking Paul out of context, and adding to the word of God.

Last edited by Toejamken : 08-28-2006 at 06:40 PM.



08-28-2006

#362

AmazingGrace

CARM Super Member

Yet none of them are gods to be taken seriously or to be feared. They are false gods as he is speaking of idols in this passage of scripture.



Join Date: Jun 2006
Location: Columbus, OH
Gender: ♀
Posts: 2,682
56 Reputation Points



Until the end of our testing we cannot take for granted our being saved; **and therefore when someone asks, "Brother, are you saved?" we do not give a categorically affirmative reply, but qualify our answer, "Thus far, yes!"**w52 7/1 p391 [See 1Jo 4 truth](#)



08-28-2006

#363

[mondo](#)

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006
Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points



Quote:

Originally Posted by [Toejamken](#)
If I was running from Paul's words, I wouldn't have referred to the verse to begin with. What I do see is the WTBS likes to force a verse fit their beliefs, but it is nothing more than speculation. It is clear to me there are many gods and lords among the so-called gods because false worship is the fruit of demons.

*"But Jeshurun grew fat and kicked— You are grown fat, thick, and sleek— Then he forsook God who made him, And scorned the Rock of his salvation. They made Him jealous with strange gods; With abominations they provoked Him to anger. **They sacrificed to demons who were not God,** To gods whom they have not known, New gods who came lately, Whom your fathers did not dread. You neglected the Rock who begot you, And forgot the God who gave you birth...They have made Me jealous with what is not God; They have provoked Me to anger with their idols." ([Deuteronomy 32](#))*

compare:

"For even if there are so-called gods whether in heaven or on earth, as indeed there are many gods and many lords,"

Yes, those would be the so-called gods, not the "many gods."



08-28-2006

#364

[mondo](#)

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006
Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points



Quote:

Originally Posted by [AmazingGrace](#)
Yet none of them are gods to be taken seriously or to be feared. They are false gods as he is speaking of idols in this passage of scripture.

The so-called gods are idols, the real gods are angels. ([Psa. 8:5](#))



08-28-2006

#365

[Toejamken](#)

Junior Member



Join Date: Jul 2006
Location: NW Florida
Posts: 89
16 Reputation Points



I edited my post as you made your comment. Your comment means nothing except you are forcing the passage to fit your beliefs.



08-28-2006

#366

truffy

Inactive



Join Date: Jul 2006
Posts: 1,593
27 Reputation Points



This is the information from the Bible.ca website...

The five NWT translators for the published 1950 NWT Bible were: Nathan Knorr, Albert Schroeder, George Gangas, M. Henschel, Fred Franz

Fred Franz however, was the only one with sufficient knowledge of the Bible languages to attempt translation of this kind. He had studied Greek for two years in the University of Cincinnati but was only self-taught in Hebrew.

Four out of the five men on the committee had no Hebrew or Greek training at all. They had only a high school education. Franz studied Greek for two years at the University of Cincinnati, but dropped out after his sophomore year. When asked in a Scotland courtroom if he could translate [Genesis 2:4](#) into Hebrew, Franz replied that he could not. The truth is that Franz was unable to translate Hebrew or Greek.

What we are left with is a very inexperienced translating committee that twisted Scripture to make it fit the Society's doctrine.

I'll look again for some more information about Rutherford.

Tim 😊😊😊



08-28-2006

#367

mondo

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006
Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points



Quote:

Originally Posted by **truffy**

This is the information from the Bible.ca website...

The five NWT translators for the published 1950 NWT Bible were: Nathan Knorr, Albert Schroeder, George Gangas, M. Henschel, Fred Franz

Fred Franz however, was the only one with sufficient knowledge of the Bible languages to attempt translation of this kind. He had studied Greek for two years in the University of Cincinnati but was only self-taught in Hebrew.

Four out of the five men on the committee had no Hebrew or Greek training at all. They had only a high school education. Franz studied Greek for two years at the University of Cincinnati, but dropped out after his sophomore year. When asked in a Scotland courtroom if he could translate [Genesis 2:4](#) into Hebrew, Franz replied that he could not. The truth is that Franz was unable to translate Hebrew or Greek.

What we are left with is a very inexperienced translating committee that twisted Scripture to make it fit the Society's doctrine.

I'll look again for some more information about Rutherford.

Well there is some down right false information there.



08-28-2006

#368

truffy

Inactive



Join Date: Jul 2006
Posts: 1,593
27 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by **mondo**
Well there is some down right false information there.

Who are you referring as false? Are you accusing me of lying or is the Bible.ca website? I only copied the words from there, so that is not lying, and if you accuse Bible.ca of lying, then that says everything about the WatchTower rhetorics and accusations of a negative kind coming from JW's. Why don't you just prove it as false before you write it.

Tim 😊😊😊



08-28-2006

#369

truffy

Inactive



Join Date: Jul 2006
Posts: 1,593
27 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by **mondo**
Well there is some down right false information there.

Actually, my finding only confirms what others have been saying all along, the JW's never had any real scholars. That is because of their negative beliefs of the education system, and they feel they have the Holy Spirit guiding their negative translations about Jesus in the Bible. And if any JW's want to know why they didn't know what was supposed to be a secret from them, then just go to this site...<http://www.bible.ca/Jw-Dont-Know.htm> and there you will find out why everybody else knew except the average JW's.

Tim 😊😊😊

Last edited by truffy : 08-28-2006 at 07:21 PM.



08-28-2006

#370

Toejamken

Junior Member

Join Date: Jul 2006
Location: NW Florida
Posts: 89
16 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by **mondo**
*If "a god" is wrong in [John 1:1c](#), "a devil" is wrong in [John 6:70](#) and "a murderer" is wrong in [Acts 28:4](#). You can't have those acceptable and [John 1:1c](#) as "a god" not acceptable, for the grammar is *identical*.*

Your comment means nothing to me. I understand you teach the inferiority of Christ in comparison to Yahweh, and that is why you attack [John 1:1](#). The belief came before your organization's so-called understanding of the Greek. I understand your doctrine is not based on the clear truth of the Bible. The following verses for me clearly show my previous comments on Isaiah are true, and that Jesus is God.

"Behold, the days are coming," declares the LORD,
 "When I will raise up for David a righteous Branch;
 And He will reign as king and act wisely
 And do justice and righteousness in the land.
 In His days Judah will be saved,
 And Israel will dwell securely;
**And this is His name by which He will be called,
 The LORD (YHWH) our righteousness.**" ([Jeremiah 23](#))

Since Yahweh calls the Christ "Yahweh our Righteousness," it is not illogical to say of Jesus "the Word was God." Jesus is not a god. He is God.



08-28-2006

#371

AmazingGrace

CARM Super Member



Join Date: Jun 2006
 Location: Columbus, OH
 Gender: ♀
 Posts: 2,682
 56 Reputation Points



Mind explaining how the scripture you have there says anything about angels being gods?

5And causeth him to lack a little of Godhead, And with honour and majesty compasseth him.

Until the end of our testing we cannot take for granted our being saved; **and therefore when someone asks, "Brother, are you saved?" we do not give a categorically affirmative reply, but qualify our answer, "Thus far, yes!"**w52 7/1 p391 [See 1Jo 4 truth](#)



08-28-2006

#372

AmazingGrace

CARM Super Member



Join Date: Jun 2006
 Location: Columbus, OH
 Gender: ♀
 Posts: 2,682
 56 Reputation Points



After re-reading the chapter in [1 Cor 8](#) - I have no idea where you are getting your context. It is way off the mark.

Good job, keep it up.

Until the end of our testing we cannot take for granted our being saved; **and therefore when someone asks, "Brother, are you saved?" we do not give a categorically affirmative reply, but qualify our answer, "Thus far, yes!"**w52 7/1 p391 [See 1Jo 4 truth](#)



08-28-2006

#373

mondo

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006
 Posts: 816
 13 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by [truffy](#)

Who are you referring as false? Are you accusing me of lying or is the Bible.ca website? I only copied the words from there, so that is not lying, and if you accuse Bible.ca of lying, then that says everything about the WatchTower rhetorics and accusations of a negative kind coming from JW's. Why don't you just prove it as false before you write it.

The website, not you.



08-28-2006

#374

mondo

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006
Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by **Toejamken**
Your comment means nothing to me. I understand you teach the inferiority of Christ in comparison to Yahweh, and that is why you attack [John 1:1](#). The belief came before your organization's so-called understanding of the Greek. I understand your doctrine is not based on the clear truth of the Bible. The following verses for me clearly show my previous comments on Isaiah are true, and that Jesus is God.

*"Behold, the days are coming," declares the LORD,
"When I will raise up for David a righteous Branch;
And He will reign as king and act wisely
And do justice and righteousness in the land.
In His days Judah will be saved,
And Israel will dwell securely;
**And this is His name by which He will be called,
The LORD (YHWH) our righteousness.**" ([Jeremiah 23](#))*

Since Yahweh calls the Christ "Yahweh our Righteousness," it is not illogical to say of Jesus "the Word was God." Jesus is not a god. He is God.

Jehu means Jehovah is He, so I guess the king and the prophet were actually God himself!



08-28-2006

#375

mondo

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006
Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by **truffy**
Actually, my finding only confirms what others have been saying all along, the JWs never had any real scholars. That is because of their negative beliefs of the education system, and they feel they have the Holy Spirit guiding their negative translations about Jesus in the Bible. And if any JWs want to know why they didn't know what was supposed to be a secret from them, then just go to this site...<http://www.bible.ca/Jw-Dont-Know.htm> and there you will find out why everybody else knew except the average JWs.

Some of that stuff is entirely false, what isn't I already knew.



08-28-2006

#376

AmazingGrace

CARM Super Member



Do you mean because you were unaware of it it must be false?

Until the end of our testing we cannot take for granted our being saved; **and therefore when someone asks, "Brother, are you saved?" we do not give**

Join Date: Jun 2006
Location: Columbus, OH
Gender: ♀
Posts: 2,682
56 Reputation Points

a categorically affirmative reply, but qualify our answer, "Thus far, yes!"w52 7/1 p391 [See 1Jo 4 truth](#)



08-28-2006

#377

mondo

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006
Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by **AmazingGrace**
Do you mean because you were unaware of it it must be false?

No, I have been aware of all the claims, those which are true or true, some of them are just downright false.



08-28-2006

#378

AmazingGrace

CARM Super Member



Join Date: Jun 2006
Location: Columbus, OH
Gender: ♀
Posts: 2,682
56 Reputation Points

Mind sharing what is false? I'd be interested in finding out the truth. I certainly don't want to go thinking or saying something is true when it isn't.

Thanks. I appreciate you taking the time. I'm off for the night. I'll catch up with you tomorrow.

God bless.

Until the end of our testing we cannot take for granted our being saved; **and therefore when someone asks, "Brother, are you saved?" we do not give a categorically affirmative reply, but qualify our answer, "Thus far, yes!"**w52 7/1 p391 [See 1Jo 4 truth](#)



08-28-2006

#379

JaySee

Member

Join Date: Jun 2006
Posts: 861
24 Reputation Points

Quote:

Originally Posted by **mondo**
Jehu means Jehovah is He, so I guess the king and the prophet were actually God himself!

A name that holds a special definitions doesn't indicate that the bearer is such! Anyone who thinks such way is a very unintelligent. Not stupid, but very unintelligent.



08-28-2006

#380

mondo

501-1000 Posts

Join Date: Mar 2006

Quote:

Originally Posted by **JaySee**

Posts: 816
13 Reputation Points

A name that holds a special definitions doesn't indicate that the bearer is such! Anyone who thinks such way is a very unintelligent. Not stupid, but very unintelligent.

Good, now start by applying that sound judgment to [Isaiah 9:6!](#)



<< [Previous Thread](#) | [Next Thread](#) >>

Quick Reply

Message:

Options

Quote message in reply?

Post Quick Reply

Go Advanced

Posting Rules

You **may** post new threads
 You **may** post replies
 You **may not** post attachments
 You **may** edit your posts

[vB code](#) is **On**
[Smilies](#) are **On**
[\[IMG\]](#) code is **On**
 HTML code is **Off**

All times are GMT -4. The time now is 07:43 AM.
 As of July 6, 2006, Total Page Views: 22,354,945, Page Views Today: 22,354,945

-- Default Style



[Contact Us](#) - [Christian Discussion Forums](#) - [Archive](#) - [To](#)

Powered by vBulletin® Version 3.6.7
 Copyright ©2000 - 2007, Jelsoft Enterprises Ltd.
 copyright CARM 2006,2007
 Get Weather for any City, State, Zipcode, or Country:

Fast Forecast